ALA and PEN America also worked behind the scenes to help us identify some more of the legal issues as well as Clare Membiela at the Library of Michigan. Federal and state authorities might quarrel with the claim that "this body is the only body with legal jurisdiction over the entire county." This ordinance appears to be proposing abrogation of the state law requirement that a work be considered as a whole via the phrase "the term 'sexually explicit matter' as referenced in this ordinance means any portion of 'sexually explicit matter."

We must point out that the Miller Test is the primary legal test for determining whether expression constitutes obscenity. As per the Supreme Court, materials must pass all 3 prongs of the Miller Test. They are:

- whether the average person applying contemporary community standards would find the work, taken as a whole, appeals to the prurient interest;
- whether the work depicts or describes, in a patently offensive way, sexual conduct specifically defined by the applicable state law; and
- whether the work, taken as a whole, lacks serious literary, artistic, political or scientific value.

The ordinance also makes several other legally suspect claims: that the Cass County Commissioners can determine community standards (that's usually a "reasonable person" standard that looks to what a reasonable person would determine is appropriate for minors, based on a national standard, as determined by a court of law); that the legal exemption provided to educators and librarians in 722.676 (b) and (d) can be abrogated without the action of the legislature (see more below); or that the state law can be abrogated by re-interpreting those provisions as requiring a parent's signed consent; or that a local ordinance can require the immediate arrest of a librarian or educator upon the allegation of a violation of the law, as they interpret it. Usually, a prosecutor must agree that there is evidence of a crime, as provided in state law, and only a judge or jury can determine if a work is obscene for minors after the presentation of evidence.

As referenced above, it is also worth reading in its entirety, MCL722.676, persons excepted from MCL722.675, sec. 6 as an ordinance cannot take away rights/abilities that the state statutes permit.

Section 5 does not apply to the dissemination of sexually explicit matter to a minor by any of the

## following:

- (a) A parent or guardian who disseminates sexually explicit matter to his or her child or ward unless the dissemination is for the sexual gratification of the parent or guardian. (b) A teacher or administrator at a public or private elementary or secondary school that complies with the revised school code, 1976 PA 451, MCL 380.1 to 380.1852, and who disseminates sexually explicit matter to a student as part of a school program permitted by law.
- (c) A licensed physician or licensed psychologist who disseminates sexually explicit matter in the treatment of a patient.
- (d) A librarian employed by a library of a public or private elementary or secondary school that complies with the revised school code, 1976 PA 451, MCL 380.1 to 380.1852, or employed by a public library, who disseminates sexually explicit matter in the course of that person's employment.
- (e) Any public or private college or university or any other person who disseminates sexually explicit matter for a legitimate medical, scientific, governmental, or judicial purpose.
- (f) A person who disseminates sexually explicit matter that is a public document, publication, record, or other material issued by a state, local, or federal official, department, board, commission, agency, or other governmental entity, or an accurate republication of such a public document, publication, record, or other material.

MLA has sent this on to the ACLU of Michigan and to the Attorney General's office.

It is important that MLA members are aware of this and can begin to prepare for how censorship challenges are going to manifest themselves outside of the normal reconsideration forms. We must be on guard and be watchful in places beyond our own library walls – like the county commissioners.